


This form is a summary description of the model entitled “Solitaire” proposed for the Model Checking Contest @ Petri Nets. Models can be given in several instances parameterized by scaling parameters. Colored nets can be accompanied by one or many equivalent, unfolded P/T nets. Models are given together with property files (possibly, one per model instance) giving a set of properties to be checked on the model.

Description

Solitaire is a popular board game requiring non-obvious solution strategies; see [wiki] for the rules of the game. The objective of the Petri nets is to generate one/some/all strategies (paths) to reach a solution, i.e., a state where just one stone is left. The auxiliary place *counter* gives the current number of stones on the board; added to simplify the specification of the target state (any state with *counter* = 1). Solitaire is played on different boards; we give Petri nets for the most popular ones: square board (0), English board (1), French board (2), each in two versions: with/out counter [H05]. The existence of a solution may depend on the initially empty field; all initial markings have been chosen to enable a solution. Encoding this game as coloured Petri net would permit the generation of arbitrary boards of scalable size.



General solitaire pattern for one field (left), and its composition to the 7×7 English board (right).

References

H05 M Heiner: About some Applications of Petri Net Theory - My Petri Net Picture Book; Talk, Adventmatik 2003, Paderborn, December 2003, http://www-dssz.informatik.tu-cottbus.de/publications/slides/2003_paderborn_pn_applications.sld.pdf.

Wiki Wikipedia: Peg solitaire; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Peg_solitaire, last access 12/2013.

Scaling parameter

| Parameter name | Parameter description | Chosen parameter values |
|----------------|-----------------------------|--|
| B | shape and size of the board | 5×5 square board (0), 7×7 English board (1), 7×7 French board (3) |

Size of the model

| Parameter | Number of places | Number of transitions | Number of arcs |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| $B = 0$ | 50 | 84 | 456 |
| $B = 0$, with counter | 51 | 84 | 540 |
| $B = 1$ | 66 | 76 | 456 |
| $B = 1$, with counter | 67 | 76 | 532 |
| $B = 2$ | 74 | 92 | 552 |
| $B = 2$, with counter | 75 | 92 | 644 |

Structural properties

| | |
|--|-------|
| ordinary — all arcs have multiplicity one | ✓ |
| simple free choice — all transitions sharing a common input place have no other input place | ✗ (a) |
| extended free choice — all transitions sharing a common input place have the same input places | ✗ (b) |
| state machine — every transition has exactly one input place and exactly one output place | ✗ (c) |
| marked graph — every place has exactly one input transition and exactly one output transition | ✗ (d) |
| connected — there is an undirected path between every two nodes (places or transitions) | ✓ (e) |
| strongly connected — there is a directed path between every two nodes (places or transitions) | ? (f) |
| source place(s) — one or more places have no input transitions | ? (g) |
| sink place(s) — one or more places have no output transitions | ✗ (h) |
| source transition(s) — one or more transitions have no input places | ✗ (i) |
| sink transitions(s) — one or more transitions have no output places | ✗ (j) |
| loop-free — no transition has an input place that is also an output place | ✓ (k) |
| conservative — for each transition, the number of input arcs equals the number of output arcs | ? (l) |
| subconservative — for each transition, the number of input arcs equals or exceeds the number of output arcs | ✓ (m) |
| nested units — places are structured into hierarchically nested sequential units ⁽ⁿ⁾ | ✗ |

Behavioural properties

| | |
|--|-------|
| safe — in every reachable marking, there is no more than one token on a place | ? (o) |
| deadlock — there exists a reachable marking from which no transition can be fired | ✓ (p) |
| reversible — from every reachable marking, there is a transition path going back to the initial marking | ✗ |
| quasi-live — for every transition t , there exists a reachable marking in which t can fire | ✓ |
| live — for every transition t , from every reachable marking, one can reach a marking in which t can fire | ✗ |

(a) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).

(b) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.6 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).

(c) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).

(d) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).

(e) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).

(f) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 to be false on all 3 instances with counters, and true on all 3 instances without counters.

(g) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 to be true on all 3 instances with counters, and false on all 3 instances without counters.

(h) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).

(i) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).

(j) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).

(k) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).

(l) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 to be false on all 3 instances with counters, and true on all 3 instances without counters.

(m) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).

(n) the definition of Nested-Unit Petri Nets (NUPN) is available from <http://mcc.lip6.fr/nupn.php>

(o) the nets corresponding to instances without counters are safe because they are covered with P-invariants having a single token in the initial place – found by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 to be false on all 3 instances with counters, and unknown on the remaining 3 instance(s).

(p) special deadlocks (dead states) correspond to the solutions we are looking for; confirmed at MCC'2014 by Lola and Tapaal on all 6 instances.

Size of the marking graphs

| Parameter | Number of reachable markings | Number of transition firings | Max. number of tokens per place | Max. number of tokens per marking |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| $B = 0$ | 1.6098×10^7 ^(q) | 2.1396×10^8 ^(r) | 1 ^(s) | 25 ^(t) |
| $B = 0$, with counter | ? | ? | 24 | 49 ^(u) |
| $B = 1$ | ? | ? | 1 | 33 ^(v) |
| $B = 1$, with counter | ? | ? | 32 | 65 ^(w) |
| $B = 2$ | ? | ? | 1 | 37 ^(x) |
| $B = 2$, with counter | ? | ? | 36 | 73 ^(y) |

Other properties

Deadlocks (dead states) which correspond to a solution can be identified by: sum over all places $T_{i,j} = 1$, or counter=0. All places are covered by 1-P-invariants, except the counter place. All nets enjoy some symmetries.

^(q) computed at MCC'2014 by Marcie, PNMC, and PNXDD; exact value: 16,098,428.

^(r) computed at MCC'2014 by Marcie; exact value: 213,958,152.

^(s) computed at MCC'2014 by Marcie and PNMC.

^(t) number of initial tokens, because the net is sub-conservative.

^(u) number of initial tokens, because the net is sub-conservative.

^(v) number of initial tokens, because the net is sub-conservative.

^(w) number of initial tokens, because the net is sub-conservative.

^(x) number of initial tokens, because the net is sub-conservative.

^(y) number of initial tokens, because the net is sub-conservative.