
#### Abstract

This form is a summary description of the model entitled "HypercubeCommunicationGrid" proposed for the Model Checking Contest @ Petri Nets. Models can be given in several instances parameterized by scaling parameters. Colored nets can be accompanied by one or many equivalent, unfolded $P / T$ nets. Models are given together with property files (possibly, one per model instance) giving a set of properties to be checked on the model.


## Description

Hypercube communication grid model [1,2] is composed of nodes which represent data communication equipment (DCE) implementing packet forwarding based on store-and-forward principle. Each DCE has ports, situated on facets of a unit size hypercube, which work in full-duplex mode. Data terminal equipment (DTE) is attached on the hypercube borders. Each DTE receives and sends packets.
Remind that, a $d$-dimension hypercube has $2 \cdot d$ facets each represents a $(d-1)$-dimension hypercube.
DCE index $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{d}\right)$, where $1 \leq i_{j} \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq d$, reflects its location within hypercube. Port index ( $r, n$ ) consists of dimension number $1 \leq r \leq d$, a facet is perpendicular to, and direction number $1 \leq n \leq 2$, where $n=1$ represents the direction to the origin of coordinates and $n=2$ represents the direction to infinity.
DCE model contains an internal buffer represented with $2 \cdot d+1$ places: the avaliable buffer size and buffer sections for storing packets forwarded to the corresponding ports.

Each of $2 \cdot d$ DCE ports has two tracts: input and output. Memory of a tract is represented with two places - the tract buffer and the tract buffer available capacity (usually equat to unit). An output tract work is modeled by a single transition taking a packet from the corresponding section of the internal buffer and putting it into the tract buffer. An input tract work is modeled by $2 \cdot d-1$ transitions forwarding arrived packet from the tract buffer to the corresponding section of the internal buffer except of the arrival port number.
A hypercube is composed via meging tract places of neighbor DCE which has a common facet: input tract of one DCE with output tract of the other DCE and vice versa.
On the borders, which constitute $2 \cdot d$ hypercubes of dimension $d-1$, DTE models are attached. A simple DTE model is represented with a single transition that receives a packet from a neighbor DCE output tract and sends a packet into the neighbor DCE input tract.
For planar case when $d=2$, models are described in [1,3] with simplified notation of ports.
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# Model: HypercubeCommunicationGrid 

## Scaling parameter

| Parameter name | Parameter description | Chosen parameter values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d, k, p, b$ | $d$ is the nummber of dimensions; <br> $k$ is the hypercube size of $k^{d}$ DCE nodes and $2 \cdot d \cdot k^{d-1}$ DTE nodes; <br> $p$ is the number of packets in each section of internal buffer; <br> $b$ is the available size of internal buffer; $p$ and $b$ define the initial marking and do not affect the model structure. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline(d, k)=(3,4),(4,3),(5,3) \text { with } p=k \text { and } \\ & b=d \cdot k \end{aligned}$ |

## Size of the model

| Parameter | Number of places | Number of transitions | Number of arcs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(d, k)$ | $P=6 \cdot d \cdot k^{d}+k^{d}+4 \cdot d \cdot k^{d-1}$ | $T=4 \cdot d^{2} \cdot k^{d}+2 \cdot d \cdot k^{d-1}$ | $A=16 \cdot d^{2} \cdot k^{d}+8 \cdot d \cdot k^{d-1}$ |
| $(d=3, k=4)$ | 1408 | 2400 | 9600 |
| $(d=4, k=3)$ | 2457 | 5400 | 21600 |
| $(d=5, k=3)$ | 9153 | 25110 | 100440 |

## Structural properties

ordinary - all arcs have multiplicity one
simple free choice - all transitions sharing a common input place have no other input place ..... (a)
extended free choice - all transitions sharing a common input place have the same input places ..... (b)
state machine - every transition has exactly one input place and exactly one output place ..... (c)
marked graph - every place has exactly one input transition and exactly one output transition ..... (d)
connected - there is an undirected path between every two nodes (places or transitions) ..... (e)
strongly connected - there is a directed path between every two nodes (places or transitions) ..... (f)
source place(s) - one or more places have no input transitions ..... $\boldsymbol{X}(\mathrm{g})$
sink place(s) - one or more places have no output transitions ..... $\boldsymbol{X}(\mathrm{h})$
source transition(s) - one or more transitions have no input places ..... $\boldsymbol{X}$ (i)
sink transitions(s) - one or more transitions have no output places ..... $\boldsymbol{X}(\mathrm{j})$
loop-free - no transition has an input place that is also an output place ..... (k)conservative - for each transition, the number of input arcs equals the number of output arcs$\boldsymbol{\wedge}(1)$
subconservative - for each transition, the number of input arcs equals or exceeds the number of output arcs ..... (m)
nested units - places are structured into hierarchically nested sequential units ${ }^{(\mathrm{n})}$ ..... $x$

[^0]
## Behavioural properties

safe - in every reachable marking, there is no more than one token on a place .......................................... $\boldsymbol{X}$ (o)
dead place(s) - one or more places have no token in any reachable marking ..................................................?

deadlock - there exists a reachable marking from which no transition can be fired .................................. $\boldsymbol{X}(\mathrm{p})$
reversible - from every reachable marking, there is a transition path going back to the initial marking
live - for every transition $t$, from every reachable marking, one can reach a marking in which $t$ can fire ............... $\boldsymbol{X}$

## Size of the marking graphs

| Parameter | Number of reach- <br> able markings | Number of tran- <br> sition firings | Max. number of <br> tokens per place | Max. number of <br> tokens per marking |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(d=3, k=4)$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $2784^{(\mathrm{q})}$ |
| $(d=4, k=3)$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $3780^{(\mathrm{r})}$ |
| $(d=5, k=3)$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $14175^{(\mathrm{s})}$ |

## Other properties

Model is $2 \cdot d \cdot p+b$ bounded - the sum of tokens in DCE internal buffer places. Model is $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{T}$-invariant for any natural $k$ as proven in $[1,2]$

[^1]
[^0]:    (a) stated by CÆSAR.BDD version 2.2 on all 3 instances $((3,4),(4,3),(5,3))$.
    (b) stated by CÆSAR.BDD version 2.6 on all 3 instances $((3,4),(4,3),(5,3))$.
    (c) stated by CÆSAR.BDD version 2.2 on all 3 instances $((3,4),(4,3),(5,3))$.
    (d) stated by CÆSAR.BDD version 2.2 on all 3 instances $((3,4),(4,3),(5,3))$.
    ${ }^{(e)}$ stated by CÆSAR.BDD version 2.2 on all 3 instances $((3,4),(4,3),(5,3))$.
    ${ }^{(f)}$ stated by CÆSAR.BDD version 2.2 on all 3 instances $((3,4),(4,3),(5,3))$.
    (g) stated by CÆSAR.BDD version 2.2 on all 3 instances $((3,4),(4,3),(5,3))$.
    ${ }^{(h)}$ stated by CÆSAR.BDD version 2.2 on all 3 instances $((3,4),(4,3),(5,3))$.
    ${ }^{(i)}$ stated by CÆSAR.BDD version 2.2 on all 3 instances $((3,4),(4,3),(5,3))$.
    ${ }^{(j)}$ stated by CÆSAR.BDD version 2.2 on all 3 instances $((3,4),(4,3),(5,3))$.
    ${ }^{(k)}$ stated by CÆSAR.BDD version 2.2 on all 3 instances $((3,4),(4,3),(5,3))$.
    ${ }^{(1)}$ stated by CÆSAR.BDD version 2.2 on all 3 instances $((3,4),(4,3),(5,3))$.
    (m) stated by CÆSAR.BDD version 2.2 on all 3 instances $((3,4),(4,3),(5,3))$.
    ${ }^{(n)}$ the definition of Nested-Unit Petri Nets (NUPN) is available from http://mcc.lip6.fr/nupn.php

[^1]:    ${ }^{(o)}$ stated by CÆSAR.BDD version 2.2 on all 3 instances $((3,4),(4,3),(5,3))$.
    (p) proven in [1,2]; checked by the Tina http://www.laas.fr/tina tool version 3.3.0 as well as other behavioural properties for small values of parameters $d, k$.
    (q) number of initial tokens, because the net is conservative.
    (r) number of initial tokens, because the net is conservative.
    ${ }^{(s)}$ number of initial tokens, because the net is conservative.

