


This form is a summary description of the model entitled “Solitaire” proposed for the Model Checking Contest @ Petri Nets. Models can be given in several instances parameterized by scaling parameters. Colored nets can be accompanied by one or many equivalent, unfolded P/T nets. Models are given together with property files (possibly, one per model instance) giving a set of properties to be checked on the model.

Description

Solitaire is a popular board game requiring non-obvious solution strategies; see [wiki] for the rules of the game. The objective of the Petri nets is to generate one/some/all strategies (paths) to reach a solution, i.e., a state where just one stone is left. The auxiliary place *counter* gives the current number of stones on the board; added to simplify the specification of the target state (any state with *counter* = 1). Solitaire is played on different boards; we give Petri nets for the most popular ones: square board (0), English board (1), French board (2), each in two versions: with/out counter [H05]. The existence of a solution may depend on the initially empty field; all initial markings have been chosen to enable a solution. Encoding this game as coloured Petri net would permit the generation of arbitrary boards of scalable size.



General solitaire pattern for one field (left), and its composition to the 7×7 English board (right).

References

H05 M Heiner: About some Applications of Petri Net Theory - My Petri Net Picture Book; Talk, Adventmatik 2003, Paderborn, December 2003, http://www-dssz.informatik.tu-cottbus.de/publications/slides/2003_paderborn_pn_applications.sld.pdf.

Wiki Wikipedia: Peg solitaire; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Peg_solitaire, last access 12/2013.

Scaling parameter

Parameter name	Parameter description	Chosen parameter values
B	shape and size of the board	5×5 square board (0), 7×7 English board (1), 7×7 French board (3)

Size of the model

Parameter	Number of places	Number of transitions	Number of arcs
$B = 0$	50	84	456
$B = 0$, with counter	51	84	540
$B = 1$	66	76	456
$B = 1$, with counter	67	76	532
$B = 2$	74	92	552
$B = 2$, with counter	75	92	644

Structural properties

- ordinary** — all arcs have multiplicity one ✓
- simple free choice** — all transitions sharing a common input place have no other input place ✗ (a)
- extended free choice** — all transitions sharing a common input place have the same input places ✗ (b)
- state machine** — every transition has exactly one input place and exactly one output place ✗ (c)
- marked graph** — every place has exactly one input transition and exactly one output transition ✗ (d)
- connected** — there is an undirected path between every two nodes (places or transitions) ✓ (e)
- strongly connected** — there is a directed path between every two nodes (places or transitions) ? (f)
- source place(s)** — one or more places have no input transitions ? (g)
- sink place(s)** — one or more places have no output transitions ✗ (h)
- source transition(s)** — one or more transitions have no input places ✗ (i)
- sink transition(s)** — one or more transitions have no output places ✗ (j)
- loop-free** — no transition has an input place that is also an output place ✓ (k)
- conservative** — for each transition, the number of input arcs equals the number of output arcs ? (l)
- subconservative** — for each transition, the number of input arcs equals or exceeds the number of output arcs ✓ (m)
- nested units** — places are structured into hierarchically nested sequential units⁽ⁿ⁾ ✗

Behavioural properties

- safe** — in every reachable marking, there is no more than one token on a place ? (o)
- deadlock** — there exists a reachable marking from which no transition can be fired ✓ (p)
- reversible** — from every reachable marking, there is a transition path going back to the initial marking ✗
- quasi-live** — for every transition t , there exists a reachable marking in which t can fire ✓
- live** — for every transition t , from every reachable marking, one can reach a marking in which t can fire ✗

(a) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).
 (b) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.6 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).
 (c) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).
 (d) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).
 (e) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).
 (f) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 to be false on all 3 instances with counters, and true on all 3 instances without counters.
 (g) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 to be true on all 3 instances with counters, and false on all 3 instances without counters.
 (h) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).
 (i) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).
 (j) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).
 (k) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).
 (l) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 to be false on all 3 instances with counters, and true on all 3 instances without counters.
 (m) stated by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 on all 6 instances ($B \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, with and without counter).
 (n) the definition of Nested-Unit Petri Nets (NUPN) is available from <http://mcc.lip6.fr/nupn.php>
 (o) the nets corresponding to instances without counters are safe because they are covered with P-invariants having a single token in the initial place – found by [CÆSAR.BDD](#) version 2.0 to be false on all 3 instances with counters, and unknown on the remaining 3 instance(s).
 (p) special deadlocks (dead states) correspond to the solutions we are looking for; confirmed at MCC'2014 by Lola and Tapaal on all 6 instances.

Size of the marking graphs

Parameter	Number of reachable markings	Number of transition firings	Max. number of tokens per place	Max. number of tokens per marking
$B = 0$	1.6098×10^7 ^(q)	2.1396×10^8 ^(r)	1 ^(s)	25 ^(t)
$B = 0$, with counter	?	?	24	49 ^(u)
$B = 1$?	?	1	33 ^(v)
$B = 1$, with counter	?	?	32	65 ^(w)
$B = 2$?	?	1	37 ^(x)
$B = 2$, with counter	?	?	36	73 ^(y)

Other properties

Deadlocks (dead states) which correspond to a solution can be identified by: sum over all places $T_{i,j} = 1$, or counter=0. All places are covered by 1-P-invariants, except the counter place. All nets enjoy some symmetries.

^(q) computed at MCC'2014 by Marcie, PNMC, and PNXDD; exact value: 16,098,428.

^(r) computed at MCC'2014 by Marcie; exact value: 213,958,152.

^(s) computed at MCC'2014 by Marcie and PNMC.

^(t) number of initial tokens, because the net is sub-conservative.

^(u) number of initial tokens, because the net is sub-conservative.

^(v) number of initial tokens, because the net is sub-conservative.

^(w) number of initial tokens, because the net is sub-conservative.

^(x) number of initial tokens, because the net is sub-conservative.

^(y) number of initial tokens, because the net is sub-conservative.